

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

CATHY TEEL,)	
)	CASE NO. 1:13cv755
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)	
SECURITY,)	
)	<u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND</u>
Defendant.)	<u>ORDER</u> [Regarding ECF No. 18]

On November 20, 2013, Magistrate Judge Nancy A. Vecchiarelli issued a Report (“R&R”) recommending that the Commissioner’s final decision be remanded for proceedings consistent with those stated in the R&R. [ECF No. 18](#).

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a report and recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). Parties must file any objections to a report and recommendation within fourteen days of service. [Id.](#); [Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#). Failure to object within this time waives a party’s right to appeal the district court’s judgment. [Thomas v. Arn](#), 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); [United States v. Walters](#), 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981). Absent objection, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge’s report without review. *See* [Thomas](#), 474 U.S. at 149.

In the instant case, Defendant filed a response to the R&R stating that he will not file an

(1:13cv755)

objection. [ECF No. 19](#). The Court finds that the R&R is supported by the record, and agrees with the recommendation to remand the case. Accordingly, the Court remands the case for proceedings consistent with those stated in the R&R ([ECF No. 18](#)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 24, 2013
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge